

# Kinetic investigation of the effect of the amino acid side chains in the selective acidolysis of *N*-acyl-*N*, $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -trialkyl glycine amides

WEI-QUN JIANG,<sup>a</sup> SÍLVIA M. M. A. PEREIRA-LIMA,<sup>a</sup> CRISTINA VENTURA,<sup>b</sup> SUSANA P. G. COSTA,<sup>a</sup> LÍDIA ALBUQUERQUE,<sup>c</sup> RAQUEL GONÇALVES-MAIA<sup>c</sup> and HERNÂNI L. S. MAIA<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Minho, Guatár, P-4710-057 Braga, Portugal

<sup>b</sup> Advanced Institute of Education and Sciences, Alameda das Linhas de Torres, 179, P-1750-142 Lisboa, Portugal

<sup>c</sup> Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Lisbon, Campo Grande, P-1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal

Received 15 November 2004; Revised 02 February 2005; Accepted 18 February 2005

**Abstract:** Accurate kinetic measurements of the rate constants for the acidolysis of five *N*-acetyl-*N*-(4-methoxybenzyl)- $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -trialkyl glycine cyclohexyl amides in TFA were performed at 25 °C and the reactions monitored by HPLC. In all cases the results were consistent with a first order behaviour with respect to the substrate. No direct correlation was obtained with these data between the rate constant values and structure, but a good correlation coefficient was obtained when a multiple regression analysis was applied by taking advantage of a Taft equation using appropriate polar and steric substituent parameters. In a plot of the values observed for log *k* against those calculated by this equation all five points fell very close to the line of perfect correlation. The calculated sensitivity coefficients to polar and steric contributions were used to discuss the experimental results and showed that the acidolysis were comparatively less affected by steric effects than expected. Copyright © 2005 European Peptide Society and John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

**Keywords:** selective acidolysis; *N*-acyl-*N*, $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -trialkyl glycine amides; rate constants; Taft equations; polar and steric substituent parameters

## INTRODUCTION

Steric crowding and/or hindrance to rotation usually recognized in  $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -dialkyl glycines make these compounds good candidates for incorporation into the peptide chain of peptidomimetics for eventual pharmacological use; however, the same features often make such incorporation problematic, mainly when the amino acid side chains are larger than methyl [1–3]. Difficulties involving the use of these amino acids, most of which cannot be obtained from commercial sources, usually start with their synthesis or that of their derivatives suitable for incorporation into a peptide chain. By successive improvements of our method in using the Ugi-Passerini four-component condensation for the preparation of these compounds [4,5] and by taking advantage of the lability to acid of the amide bond at the *C*-terminus of  $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -dialkyl glycine derivatives, it was possible to investigate a promising strategy to incorporate residues of these amino acids into peptide chains [5]. This consists of cleaving with neat trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) the 4-methoxybenzyl group together with the *C*-terminal amide bond of an *N*-acyl-*N*-(4-methoxybenzyl)- $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -dialkyl glycine derivative. The product is the corresponding *N*-acylamino acid or *N*-acylpeptide acid required for elongation towards

the *C*-terminus. Later, it was also found that this double cleavage can be performed selectively in two steps [6]; by using sufficiently diluted TFA only the amide bond cleaves to give the corresponding *N*-acyl-*N*, $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -trialkyl glycine ready for the same purpose. Undoubtedly, the worth of this strategy will be highly dependent on the ability to cleave the amide bond; thus, a thorough investigation of the behaviour of two sets of differently substituted derivatives of  $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -dimethyl and  $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -dibenzyl glycine, respectively, during acidolysis was carried out in order to evaluate the effect of the various substituents [7]. In the course of the work it was possible to detect formation of the intermediate oxazolonium that had been predicted by Creighton *et al.* [8] and also by Keating *et al.* [9] and to isolate a fairly stable oxazolone resulting from decomposition of this intermediate. This study presents the results of accurate kinetic measurements at 25 °C undertaken with the aid of HPLC monitoring the selective cleavage of the *C*-terminal amide bond in a set of five  $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -dialkyl glycine derivatives (**1a–1e**) differing from each other only in the size of their  $\alpha$ -alkyl groups (Scheme 1). The *N*-acetyl group is sufficiently stable under acidolysis to act as a model for peptidyl, the *N*-alkyl group chosen (4-methoxybenzyl – Pmb) can be cleaved under forcing acidolytic conditions if required [5–7] and the choice of cyclohexyl for the *C*-terminus allowed the use of cyclohexyl isonitrile, which is fairly stable and commercially available.


\* Correspondence to: H. L. S. Maia, Department of Chemistry, University of Minho, Guatár, P-4710-057 Braga, Portugal; e-mail: h.maia@netcabo.pt; hmaia@quimica.uminho.pt

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Substrates **1a–1e** were synthesized by a Ugi–Passerini reaction using acetic acid, cyclohexyl isonitrile, 4-methoxybenzyl amine and the appropriate ketone to generate the required amino acid side chains according to the methodologies described elsewhere [5]; the yields are presented in Table 1. When these substrates were treated with semi-concentrated HCl the only products isolated were the acids **3a–3d** resulting from selective cleavage of the C-terminal amide bond (Scheme 1). Good yields (78%–86%, Table 2) were obtained with all compounds except for the dibenzyl substrate, which gave only a trace of **3e**; in this case 48% hydrobromic acid (HBr) had to be used but no more than a fair yield (45%) could be obtained, which was related to the low solubility of this substrate in water. The acidolyses were also conducted in organic medium with 2% TFA in acetonitrile to give quantitative or almost quantitative yields (Table 2) with all substrates. When the reactions were monitored by HPLC, clean

**Table 1** Synthesis of Ugi–Passerini Adducts **1a–1e**

Product	R <sup>1</sup>	Yield (%)
<b>1a</b>	CH <sub>3</sub>	80
<b>1b</b>	CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	86
<b>1c</b>	CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	92
<b>1d</b>	CH <sub>2</sub> CH(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	85
<b>1e</b>	CH <sub>2</sub> Ph	73



**1a, 2a, 3a:** R<sup>1</sup> = Me, R<sup>2</sup> = 4-MeC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> (Pmb), R<sup>3</sup> = Me, R<sup>4</sup> = C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>  
**1b, 2b, 3b:** R<sup>1</sup> = Et, R<sup>2</sup> = Pmb, R<sup>3</sup> = Me, R<sup>4</sup> = C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>  
**1c, 2c, 3c:** R<sup>1</sup> = Pr, R<sup>2</sup> = Pmb, R<sup>3</sup> = Me, R<sup>4</sup> = C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>  
**1d, 2d, 3d:** R<sup>1</sup> = *i*Bu, R<sup>2</sup> = Pmb, R<sup>3</sup> = Me, R<sup>4</sup> = C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>  
**1e, 2e, 3e:** R<sup>1</sup> = Bn, R<sup>2</sup> = Pmb, R<sup>3</sup> = Me, R<sup>4</sup> = C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>

**Scheme 1****Table 2** Selective Cleavage of Ugi–Passerini Adducts **1a–1e** with Aqueous Acid and in 2% TFA in Acetonitrile


Reagent	Product	R <sup>1</sup>	Acid <sup>a</sup>	Yield (%)	Acid <sup>b</sup>	Yield (%)
<b>1a</b>	<b>3a</b>	CH <sub>3</sub>	6 M HCl	86	2% TFA	96
<b>1b</b>	<b>3b</b>	CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	6 M HCl	85	2% TFA	100
<b>1c</b>	<b>3c</b>	CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	6 M HCl	79	2% TFA	97
<b>1d</b>	<b>3d</b>	CH <sub>2</sub> CH(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	6 M HCl	78	2% TFA	98
<b>1e</b>	<b>3e</b>	CH <sub>2</sub> Ph	48% HBr	45	2% TFA	98

<sup>a</sup> Aqueous solution; <sup>b</sup> in acetonitrile.


chromatograms were obtained showing only the reagent and a single product, which proved to be acid **3**. The assignments were performed by chromatographing aliquots of the reaction mixture to which an equal amount of a solution of the corresponding compound **3** had been added; a similar procedure was used with regard to the reagent. In contrast to what had been previously observed when a higher concentration of TFA was used in similar reactions [7], no oxazolonium peaks could now be detected, possibly because with 2% TFA the acidolysis were sufficiently slow to allow complete hydrolysis of the intermediate cyclic species. Thus, it is understood that the mechanism of the reactions under investigation is that proposed by Creighton *et al.* [8] and supported by our own results [6,7], i.e. that the acidolytic cleavage yields an oxazolonium species that undergoes subsequent hydrolysis with trace water in the solvent (Scheme 1).

Kinetic Investigation of Substrates **1a–1e**

Acidolysis carried out prior to the kinetic measurements with various concentrations of TFA in acetonitrile showed that a concentration of 2% would allow the same experimental conditions to be used for all compounds and would simultaneously secure both an acceptable number of points for each kinetic plot and that the reactions would reach the end within a reasonable period of time, i.e. 30 min for the fastest reaction (substrate **1b**) and 12 h for the slowest one (substrate **1e**). All substrates were reacted under controlled temperature (25.00 ± 0.01 °C) and samples were collected at regular intervals for HPLC monitoring with chromatogram recording until all the reagent had been consumed; peak areas were those printed by the chromatographic machine as the result of automatic integration of each chromatographic peak. An example of the plots of peak areas, A, vs time is presented in Figure 1 for a single experiment with the α,α-dimethylglycine derivative (**1a**). Previously, peak areas were measured for each substrate **1a–1e** at five to seven different concentrations in neat acetonitrile within the interval 0.005–0.035 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> and at least



**Figure 1** Plot of peak areas, A, vs time for an acidolysis experiment with compound **1a**. The figures in the box are the observed HPLC retention times (min).



**Figure 2** Plots of values of  $\ln A$  vs time for acidolysis of  $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -dialkyl glycine derivatives **1a–1e**.

**Table 3** Rate Constants ( $k$ ) and Half-life Period ( $t_{1/2}$ ) for Acidolysis of  $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -Dialkyl Glycine Derivatives **1a–1e** with 2% TFA in Acetonitrile at 25°C

Compound	$(k \pm dk) \times 10^4 (\text{s}^{-1})^a$	$t_{1/2}$ (h)	No. of experiments
<b>1a</b>	$11.14 \pm 0.22$	0.17	3
<b>1b</b>	$31.59 \pm 0.27$	0.06(1)	3
<b>1c</b>	$29.74 \pm 0.48$	0.06(4)	5 (1 deleted)
<b>1d</b>	$7.15 \pm 0.23$	0.27	3
<b>1e</b>	$1.25 \pm 0.04$	1.54	3

<sup>a</sup>  $dk = \Sigma|k - k_i|/n$ , where  $k$  is the calculated mean value of the reaction rate,  $k_i$  is the reaction rate obtained in each experiment and  $n$  is the number of experiments run for each compound.

three results were obtained for each concentration. The analysis of these data revealed an excellent linear relationship between concentrations and reagent peak areas, which allowed calculation of reaction rate constants directly from the peak areas. All reactions exhibited pseudo-first order behaviour with respect to the amino acid derivative, which is shown by the also excellent linear variation of  $\ln A$  as a function of time as obtained from the relationship  $\ln A = \ln A_0 - kt$ , where  $A$  is the peak area at time  $t$ ,  $A_0$  is the peak area for  $t = 0$  and  $k$  is the rate constant. As an example,  $\ln A$  vs  $t$  plots for one experiment concerning each substrate are presented in Figure 2. The observed rate constants,  $k$ , were calculated by the linear least squares methodology for a straight line (the standard deviation of the fits never exceeded 1% of the corresponding  $k$  value). The results obtained are presented in Table 3 and are the mean values of at least three independent kinetic experiments;  $dk$  represents their mean deviations. For comparative purposes, in addition to  $k$  values, half-life periods,  $t_{1/2}$ , are also listed. The dependence of the reaction rates on the concentration of TFA was also investigated; thus, in a few experiments carried out with 1% or 5% TFA, the reaction rates were found to be directly proportional to the concentration of acid.

As no direct correlation between the rate constant values and structure was obtained, a regression analysis was applied by taking advantage of the following multiparametric Taft equation [10,11]

$$\log k = \log k_0 + \rho^* \sigma^* + \delta E_s$$

where  $\sigma^*$  and  $E_s$  are Taft's polar and steric substituent parameters, respectively (Table 4). By fitting the experimental values for compounds **1a–1e** in this equation, the following fairly good correlation was found

$$\log k = -2.834 - 3.799 \sigma^* + 0.7675 E_s$$


with a correlation coefficient of 0.984, a standard deviation of 0.15 and a confidence level of 95% as calculated by the statistical Fisher test. A plot of the values of  $\sigma^*$  vs  $E_s$  used [9,10] shows a correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) as low as 0.188, thus meaning that there is no appreciable collinearity in these explanatory variables; this indicates that the explanatory variables  $\sigma^*$  and  $E_s$  are independent and, thus, allows them to be used in the same equation to quantify two different effects (polar and steric, respectively). In order to demonstrate the validity of the above numeric multiparametric Taft equation, the values observed for  $\log k$  were plotted against those calculated by this equation, shown in Figure 3; all five points fall very closely to the bisectrix of perfect correlation. According to the above correlation coefficient, standard deviation and confidence level, it may be concluded that the fit obtained describes well the acidolysis of compounds **1a–1e**, which is in agreement with an acid catalyzed process.

## CONCLUSIONS

It was found that the C-terminal amide bond of the Ugi-Passerini adducts investigated can be cleaved selectively not only with TFA in acetonitrile as previously reported [5–7] but also with aqueous hydrogen halides, although in this case lower yields were obtained possibly due to insufficient solubility of the compounds in water. The kinetic measurements

**Table 4** Experimental  $\log k$  Values and Taft's Polar ( $\sigma^*$ ) and Steric ( $E_s$ ) Substituent Parameters [9,10] for Substrates **1a–1e**

Compound	$R^1$	$\log k$	$\sigma^*$	$E_s$
<b>1a</b>	CH <sub>3</sub>	-2.952	0	0
<b>1b</b>	CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	-2.500	-0.1	-0.07
<b>1c</b>	CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	-2.527	-0.115	-0.36
<b>1d</b>	CH <sub>2</sub> CH(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	-3.146	-0.125	-0.93
<b>1e</b>	CH <sub>2</sub> Ph	-3.903	-0.215	-0.38



**Figure 3** Plot of observed  $\log k$  values for acidolysis of compounds **1a–1e** against those calculated with  $\log k = -2.834 - 3.799 \sigma^* + 0.7675 E_s$ .

showed that the rate constants depend very much on the structure of the amino acid side chains. Thus, substrates having linear side chains at the  $\alpha$ -carbon atom (**1a–1c**) show larger rate constants than those having branched chains (**1d** and **1e**); the dibenzyl substrate is that presenting the lowest value. The use of a multiparametric Taft equation allowed both polar and steric substituent effects to be quantified. The coefficients in the above equation show that the reactions are more sensitive to polar than to steric effects. Although the reaction rate of acid catalyzed processes usually depends almost only on steric effects [12], our results show that this is not the case in the acidolysis of the C-terminal amide bond of  $\alpha,\alpha$ -dialkyl glycine derivatives where steric hindrance seems to play a fairly unimportant role compared with polar contributions (cf position of Me in the plot of Figure 3). Having in mind to use the reaction products for coupling at their C-terminus, this behaviour ensures that whatever of the size and degree of branching of the amino acid side chains may be, one may expect selective cleavage to occur satisfactorily.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

All ketones except dibenzyl ketone were freshly distilled. Methanol and toluene were dried by standard procedures. All other solvents and reagents were used as obtained from commercial sources. Tri-distilled and de-ionized water was used in HPLC experiments. TLC analyses were carried out on 0.25 mm thick precoated silica plates (Merck Fertigplatten Kieselgel 60F<sub>254</sub>) and spots were visualized under UV light or by exposure to vaporized iodine. Preparative chromatography was carried out on Merck Kieselgel 60 (230–400 mesh). All melting points were measured on a Gallenkamp melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded at 25 °C in ~5% CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution on a Varian 300 Unity Plus spectrometer; all shifts are given in  $\delta$  ppm using  $\delta_{\text{H}} \text{Me}_4\text{Si} = 0$  and  $J$ -values are given in Hz, and assignments were made by comparison of chemical shifts, peak multiplicity and  $J$ -values. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded with the same instrument at 75.4 MHz and using the solvent peak as internal reference; assignments were carried out by the DEPT 135 technique.

Elemental analyses were carried out on a Leco CHNS 932 instrument. HPLC measurements were carried out with a Jasco PU-980 intelligent HPLC Pump, a Shimadzu SPD-6AV UV-VIS Spectrophotometric Detector and a Shimadzu C-R6A Chromatopac Printer. A reverse phase LiChrospher® 100 RP-18 (5  $\mu\text{m}$ ) column was used throughout the work. Temperature stability was maintained throughout the kinetic work with a HAAKE Circulator DL30 set to 25 °C with the aid of a Precision® thermometer ranging from 20° to 30 °C.

### N-Acetyl-N-(4-methoxybenzyl)- $\alpha,\alpha$ -dimethylglycine cyclohexylamide, **1a**

Acetic acid (4.02 g, 67 mmol) and 4-methoxybenzyl amine (9.13 g, 67 mmol) were dissolved in acetone (50 ml). After stirring at room temperature for 15 min, cyclohexyl isocyanide (7.27 g, 67 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture stirred for 3 days at room temperature, in the dark and under nitrogen. The precipitate formed during the reaction was filtered off and the filtrate evaporated to dryness. The residue obtained was triturated with petroleum ether (40–60)°C and the second crop thus obtained was combined with the previous one and recrystallized from ethyl acetate/diethyl ether to yield **1a** (18.5 g, 80%) as a white solid, mp 135°–136 °C (Found: C, 69.1; H, 8.5; N, 8.1. C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires C, 69.3; H, 8.7; N, 8.1%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm: 1.17 (m, 3H, cHex); 1.38 (m, 2H, cHex); 1.41 (s, 6H, 2  $\times$  CH<sub>3</sub>); 1.63 (m, 3H, cHex); 1.93 (m, 2H, cHex); 2.09 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); 3.77 (m, 1H, cHex); 3.80 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>); 4.58 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Ar); 5.52 (d, 1H, NH); 6.91 (d, 2H,  $J$  8.7, Ph-H<sub>2,6</sub>); 7.38 (d, 2H,  $J$  8.7, Ph-H<sub>3,5</sub>) <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, DEPT)  $\delta$  ppm: 23.04 (2  $\times$  CH<sub>3</sub>); 24.37 (CH<sub>3</sub>); 24.84 (2  $\times$  CH<sub>2</sub>); 25.56 (CH<sub>2</sub>); 32.99 (2  $\times$  CH<sub>2</sub>); 47.65 (CH<sub>2</sub>); 48.19 (CH); 55.23 (OCH<sub>3</sub>); 62.15 (C<sup>o</sup>); 114.19 (Ph-C<sub>2,6</sub>); 127.19 (Ph-C<sub>3,5</sub>); 130.41 (Ph-C<sub>1</sub>); 158.69 (Ph-C<sub>4</sub>); 171.67 (NC=O); 174.10 (NHC=O).

### General Method 1: Synthesis of Ugi-Passerini Adducts **1b–1e**

A freshly distilled Schiff base (prepared by azeotropic reflux of the corresponding ketone and 4-methoxybenzyl amine [5]) (0.1 mol) and acetic acid (0.1 mol) were added to a flask containing dry MeOH (50 ml). After stirring at room temperature for 15 min, cyclohexyl isocyanide (0.1 mol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3–7 days at room temperature, in the dark and under nitrogen. If a precipitate had formed either during the reaction or by concentration at the end, it was filtered off, the filtrate evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue triturated with petroleum ether (40–60)°C. The second crop thus obtained was combined with the previous one and recrystallized.

### N-Acetyl-N-(4-methoxybenzyl)- $\alpha,\alpha$ -diethylglycine cyclohexylamide, **1b**

The reaction was carried out on a 0.1 M scale and the crude product recrystallized from ethyl acetate/diethyl ether to yield **1b** (32.11 g, 86%) as a white solid, mp 113°–115 °C (Found: C, 70.3; H, 9.0; N, 7.6. C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>34</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires C, 70.5; H, 9.1; N, 7.5%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm: 0.84 (6 H, t,  $J$  7.4, 2  $\times$  CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.06–1.24 (3 H, m, cHex), 1.28–1.45 (2 H, m, cHex), 1.55–1.76 (5 H, m, cHex + CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.90–2.00 (2 H, m, cHex), 2.03 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 2.04–2.18 (2 H, m, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.80 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>O), 3.80–3.92 (1 H, m, cHex), 4.57 (2 H, s, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.55 (1 H, d,  $J$  8.7, NH), 6.93 (2 H, d,  $J$  8.4, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-H<sub>3,5</sub>), 7.57 (2 H, d,  $J$  8.4,

NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-*H*<sub>2,6</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, DEPT)  $\delta$  ppm:  $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> (75.4 MHz; CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 8.31 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 23.14 (CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 23.78 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 24.81 (cHex-C<sub>3,5</sub>), 25.53 (cHex-C<sub>4</sub>), 33.11 (cHex-C<sub>2,6</sub>), 48.09 (cHex-C<sub>1</sub>), 48.82 (NCH<sub>2</sub>), 55.14 (CH<sub>3</sub>O), 68.36 (C <sup>$\alpha$</sup> ), 114.09 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-C<sub>3,5</sub>), 127.12 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-C<sub>2,6</sub>), 131.15 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-C<sub>1</sub>), 158.45 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-C<sub>4</sub>), 171.90 (CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 172.72 (CONH).

**N-Acetyl-N-(4-methoxybenzyl)- $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -dipropylglycine cyclohexylamide, 1c.** The reaction was carried out on a 0.025 M scale and the crude product recrystallized from ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (40–60)°C to yield **1c** (9.23 g, 92%) as a white solid, mp 128°–130°C (Found: C, 71.6; H, 9.5; N, 7.2. C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>38</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires C, 71.6; H, 9.5; N, 7.0%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm: 0.89 (6 H, t, *J* 7.2, 2  $\times$  CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.08–1.44 (10 H, m, 2  $\times$  CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> + cHex), 1.55–1.76 (6 H, m, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> + cHex), 1.93–2.12 (2 H, m, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.00 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 3.80 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>O), 3.80–3.90 (1 H, m, cHex), 4.54 (2 H, s, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.54 (1 H, d, *J* 7.8, NH), 6.92 (2 H, d, *J* 8.4, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-*H*<sub>3,5</sub>), 7.59 (2 H, d, *J* 8.4, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-*H*<sub>2,6</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, DEPT)  $\delta$  ppm: 14.46 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 17.35 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 23.22 (CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 24.86 (cHex-C<sub>3,5</sub>), 25.54 (cHex-C<sub>4</sub>), 33.14 (cHex-C<sub>2,6</sub>), 34.22 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 48.11 (cHex-C<sub>1</sub>), 48.68 (NCH<sub>2</sub>), 55.14 (CH<sub>3</sub>O), 67.63 (C <sup>$\alpha$</sup> ), 114.08 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-C<sub>3,5</sub>), 127.17 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-C<sub>2,6</sub>), 131.22 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-C<sub>1</sub>), 158.42 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-C<sub>4</sub>), 171.92 (CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 172.95 (CONH).

**N-Acetyl-N-(4-methoxybenzyl)- $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -diisobutylglycine cyclohexylamide, 1d.** The reaction was carried out on a 0.025 M scale and the crude product recrystallized from ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (40–60)°C to yield **1d** (9.13 g, 85%) as a white solid, mp 90°–92°C (Found: C, 72.3; H, 9.7; N, 6.7. C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>42</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires C, 72.5; H, 9.8; N, 6.5%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm: 0.91 (12 H, t, *J* 6.8, 2  $\times$  (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH), 1.05–1.45 (7 H, m, 2  $\times$  (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH + cHex), 1.54–1.76 (7 H, m, CHCH<sub>2</sub> + cHex), 1.95–2.15 (2 H, m, CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.05 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 3.75–3.90 (1 H, m, cHex), 3.81 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>O), 4.54 (2 H, s, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.54 (1 H, d, *J* 7.8 NH), 6.94 (2 H, d, *J* 8.7, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-*H*<sub>3,5</sub>), 7.63 (2 H, d, *J* 8.5, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-*H*<sub>2,6</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, DEPT)  $\delta$  ppm: 23.48 (CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 23.52 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH), 24.53 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH), 24.90 (cHex-C<sub>3,5</sub>), 25.27 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH), 25.58 (cHex-C<sub>4</sub>), 33.11 (cHex-C<sub>2,6</sub>), 40.37 (CH<sub>2</sub>CH), 48.58 (cHex-C<sub>1</sub>), 48.65 (NCH<sub>2</sub>), 55.14 (CH<sub>3</sub>O), 67.31 (C <sup>$\alpha$</sup> ), 114.13 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-C<sub>3,5</sub>), 127.27 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-C<sub>2,6</sub>), 131.15 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-C<sub>1</sub>), 158.48 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-C<sub>4</sub>), 171.79 (CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 172.78 (CONH).

**N-Acetyl-N-(4-methoxybenzyl)- $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -dibenzylglycine cyclohexylamide, 1e.** The reaction was carried out on a 0.011 M scale, using 1.1 equivalent of the corresponding Schiff base, and the crude product recrystallized from ethyl acetate/diethyl ether to yield **1e** (3.63 g, 73%) as a white solid, mp 193°–194°C (Found: C, 77.0; H, 7.7; N, 5.7. C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>38</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires C, 77.0; H, 7.7; N, 5.6%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm: 0.76–0.96 (2 H, m, cHex), 1.00–1.15 (1 H, m, cHex), 1.20–1.35 (2 H, m, cHex), 1.50–1.65 (5 H, m, cHex), 2.01 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 2.96 (2 H, d, *J* 12.0, C <sup>$\alpha$</sup> CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.39 (2 H, d, *J* 11.5, C <sup>$\alpha$</sup> CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.52–3.68 (1 H, m, cHex), 3.61 (2 H, s, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.77 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>O), 5.02 (1 H, d, *J* 7.8, NH), 6.88 (2 H, d, *J* 9.0, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-*H*<sub>3,5</sub>), 7.28–7.40 (10 H, m, 2  $\times$  Ph), 7.57 (2 H, d, *J* 8.4, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-*H*<sub>2,6</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, DEPT)  $\delta$  ppm: 22.25 (CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 24.76 (cHex-C<sub>3,5</sub>), 25.51 (cHex-C<sub>4</sub>), 32.52 (cHex-C<sub>2,6</sub>), 36.03 (C <sup>$\alpha$</sup> CH<sub>2</sub>), 48.02 (cHex-C<sub>1</sub>), 48.16 (NCH<sub>2</sub>), 55.11 (CH<sub>3</sub>O), 69.04 (C <sup>$\alpha$</sup> ), 113.96 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-C<sub>3,5</sub>),

126.95 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-C<sub>2,6</sub>), 127.01 (Ph-C<sub>4</sub>), 128.25 (Ph-C<sub>3,5</sub>), 130.76 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-C<sub>1</sub>), 130.84 (Ph-C<sub>2,6</sub>), 135.60 (Ph-C<sub>1</sub>), 158.32 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-C<sub>4</sub>), 170.89 (CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 172.57 (CONH).

### General Method 2: Preparation of Compounds 3a–3e by Selective Acidolysis of the C-terminal Amide Bond of 1a–1e with Aqueous Hydrochloric Acid

Compounds **1a–1e** (0.01 mol) were suspended in 6 M HCl (100 ml) and left stirring at room temperature for 24 h, the reaction being followed by TLC. The solid thus formed was filtered off, purified by column chromatography and recrystallized.

### General Method 3: Preparation of Compounds 3a–3e by Selective Acidolysis of the C-terminal Amide Bond of 1a–1e with 2% TFA in Acetonitrile

Compounds **1a–1e** (1.5 mmol) were dissolved in 75.0 ml of dry acetonitrile followed by addition of the amount of TFA necessary to give a 2% solution of acid. This was kept at room temperature until no more starting material could be observed by HPLC. The solvent was evaporated at 30°C and the crude product purified by column chromatography on Merck 230–400 mesh Kieselgel 60 (dichloromethane/MeOH, 50:1). The desired fraction was evaporated to dryness to give the corresponding compound.

**N-Acetyl-N-(4-methoxybenzyl)- $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -dimethylglycine, 3a.** A reaction by General Method 3 on a 1.5 mm scale was purified by column chromatography with ethyl acetate/dichloromethane 7:2 as the eluent. Recrystallization from methanol yielded **3a** (321 mg, 96%). mp 198°–199°C. (Found: C, 63.4; H, 7.1; N, 5.4. C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> requires C, 63.4; H, 7.2; N, 5.3%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm: 1.25 (s, 6H, 2  $\times$  CH<sub>3</sub>); 1.94 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>CO); 3.73 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>); 4.57 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>); 6.93 (d, 2H, *J* 8.0, Ph-*H*<sub>2,6</sub>); 7.33 (d, 2H, *J* 8.0, Ph-*H*<sub>3,5</sub>); 11.96 (br, 1H, OH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, DEPT)  $\delta$  ppm: 22.27 (CH<sub>3</sub>); 23.31 (CH<sub>3</sub>); 46.62 (CH<sub>2</sub>); 54.96 (OCH<sub>3</sub>); 60.22 (C <sup>$\alpha$</sup> ); 113.83 (Ph-C<sub>2,6</sub>), 126.86 (Ph-C<sub>3,5</sub>); 130.96 (Ph-C<sub>1</sub>); 157.98 (Ph-C<sub>4</sub>); 170.27 (NC=O); 175.14 (OC=O). The same product (567 mg, 86%) was obtained in a reaction by General Method 2 on a 2.5 mm scale.

**N-Acetyl-N-(4-methoxybenzyl)- $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -diethylglycine, 3b.** The crude product of a reaction carried out by General Method 2 on a 0.01 M scale was purified by column chromatography with ethyl acetate/dichloromethane 7:2 as the eluent. Recrystallization from diethyl ether yielded **3b** (2.49 g, 85%) as a white solid, mp 177°–179°C. (Found: C, 65.7; H, 7.8; N, 4.8. C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> requires C, 65.5; H, 7.9; N, 4.8%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm: 0.86 (6 H, t, *J* 7.2, 2  $\times$  CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.78 (2 H, sextet, *J* 7.2, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.06 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 2.17 (2 H, sextet, *J* 7.2, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.82 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>O), 4.60 (2 H, s, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.93 (2 H, d, *J* 8.4, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-*H*<sub>3,5</sub>), 7.43 (2 H, d, *J* 8.4, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-*H*<sub>2,6</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, DEPT)  $\delta$  ppm: 8.24 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.59 (CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 23.59 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 49.06 (NCH<sub>2</sub>), 55.22 (CH<sub>3</sub>O), 67.70 (C <sup>$\alpha$</sup> ), 114.17 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-C<sub>3,5</sub>), 126.85 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-C<sub>2,6</sub>), 130.43 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-C<sub>1</sub>), 158.54 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-C<sub>4</sub>), 172.36 (CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 177.20 (COOH). The same product (438 mg, 100%) was obtained in a reaction by General Method 3 on a 1.5 mm scale.

**N-Acetyl-N-(4-methoxybenzyl)- $\alpha,\alpha$ -dipropylglycine, 3c.**

The crude product of a reaction carried out by General Method 2 on a 0.0125 M scale was purified by column chromatography with ethyl acetate/dichloromethane 7:2 as the eluent. Recrystallization from diethyl ether yielded **3c** (3.18 g, 79%) as a white solid, mp 167°–168°C (Found: C, 67.4; H, 8.3; N, 4.5. C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>27</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> requires C, 67.3; H, 8.5; N, 4.4%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm: 0.89 (6 H, t, *J* 6.9, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.20–1.35 (4 H, m, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.65–1.75 (2 H, m, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.98–2.10 (2 H, m, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.03 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 3.83 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>O), 4.57 (2 H, s, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.93 (2 H, d, *J* 8.7, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-*H*<sub>3,5</sub>), 7.43 (2 H, d, *J* 8.7, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-*H*<sub>2,6</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, DEPT)  $\delta$  ppm: 14.43 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 17.21 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.65 (CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 34.18 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 48.93 (NCH<sub>2</sub>), 55.21 (CH<sub>3</sub>O), 66.94 (C<sup>α</sup>), 114.18 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-*C*<sub>3,5</sub>), 126.86 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-*C*<sub>2,6</sub>), 130.47 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-*C*<sub>1</sub>), 158.51 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-*C*<sub>4</sub>), 172.40 (CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 177.43 (COOH). The same product (465 mg, 97%) was obtained in a reaction by General Method 3 on a 1.5 mm scale.

**N-Acetyl-N-(4-methoxybenzyl)- $\alpha,\alpha$ -diisobutylglycine, 3d.**

The crude product of a reaction carried out by General Method 2 on a 0.005 M scale was purified by column chromatography with chloroform/methanol 9:1 as the eluent. Recrystallization from diethyl ether yielded **3d** (1.37 g, 78%) as a white solid, mp 141°–142°C (Found: C, 68.5; H, 8.7; N, 4.2. C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>31</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> requires C, 68.7; H, 8.9; N, 4.0%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm: 0.93 (12 H, t, *J* 6.0, 2 × (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH), 1.65–1.78 (4 H, m, 2 × (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH + CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.01 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 2.13 (2 H, q, *J* 9.0, CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.83 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>O), 4.59 (2 H, s, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.93 (2 H, d, *J* 8.7, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-*H*<sub>3,5</sub>), 7.46 (2 H, d, *J* 8.4, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-*H*<sub>2,6</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub> + DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, DEPT)  $\delta$  ppm: 23.57 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH), 24.15 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH), 41.86 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 46.16 (NCH<sub>2</sub>), 54.69 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 68.62 (C<sup>α</sup>), 113.48 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ar-*C*<sub>3,5</sub>), 124.68 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ar-*C*<sub>1</sub>), 130.88 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ar-*C*<sub>2,6</sub>), 159.37 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ar-*C*<sub>4</sub>), 173.44 (CH<sub>3</sub>CO). The same product (514 mg, 98%) was obtained in a reaction by General Method 3 on a 1.5 mm scale.

**N-Acetyl-N-(4-methoxybenzyl)- $\alpha,\alpha$ -dibenzylglycine, 3e.**

The crude product of a reaction carried out by General Method 2 on a 0.005 M scale, but using 48% aqueous HBr instead of 6 M HCl, was purified by column chromatography with dichloromethane/methanol 32:1 as the eluent. Recrystallization from methanol/diethyl ether yielded **3e** (0.94 g, 45%) as a white solid, mp 215°–217°C (Found: C, 74.5; H, 6.5; N, 3.4. C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>27</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> requires C, 74.8; H, 6.5; N, 3.4%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm: 2.06 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 2.99 (2 H, d, *J* 13.6, C<sup>α</sup>CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.46 (2 H, d, *J* 13.8, C<sup>α</sup>CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.68 (2 H, s, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.78 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>O), 6.86 (2 H, d, *J* 9.0, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-*H*<sub>3,5</sub>), 7.32 (2 H, d, *J* 8.7, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-*H*<sub>2,6</sub>), 7.30–7.40 (10 H, m, 2 × Ph). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, DEPT)  $\delta$  ppm: 22.70 (CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 36.24 (C<sup>α</sup>CH<sub>2</sub>), 48.54 (NCH<sub>2</sub>), 55.19 (CH<sub>3</sub>O), 68.66 (C<sup>α</sup>), 114.17 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-*C*<sub>3,5</sub>), 126.68 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-*C*<sub>2,6</sub>), 127.12 (Ph-*C*<sub>4</sub>), 128.47 (Ph-*C*<sub>3,5</sub>), 130.08 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-*C*<sub>1</sub>), 130.80 (Ph-*C*<sub>2,6</sub>), 135.64 (Ph-*C*<sub>1</sub>), 158.47 (NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph-*C*<sub>4</sub>), 173.05 (CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 176.05 (COOH). The same product (610 mg, 98%) was obtained in a reaction by General Method 3 on a 1.5 mm scale.

**General Method 4: Kinetic Measurements**

Each Ugi-Passerini adduct (**1a–1e**) was dissolved in dry acetonitrile, which was followed by addition of the amount

of TFA necessary to give a 0.02 M solution of substrate containing the acid at a concentration of 2%. These solutions were kept under controlled temperature (25.00° ± 0.01°C) in a thermostatic bath. Samples were collected for HPLC monitoring at regular intervals of time and injected as quickly as possible to minimize errors due to the fluctuation of temperature. The detection was made at the wavelength of 260 nm and eluents of acetonitrile/water mixtures with compositions of 1:1, 2:1 and 3:1 (v/v) were used.

**Acknowledgements**

We thank the Foundation for Science and Technology (Portugal) for financial support to the Institute of Biotechnology and Fine Chemistry (University of Minho) and CECUL (University of Lisbon) and also for scholarship no. SFRH/BPD/1544/2000 to one of us (W.-Q.J.).

**REFERENCES**

- Jones DS, Kenner GW, Preston J, Sheppard RC. Synthesis of peptides and polymers of some sterically hindered amino-acids via oxazolone intermediates. *J. Chem. Soc.* 1965; 6227–6239.
- Maia HL, Ridge B, Rydon HN. Polypeptides. Part XXIII. The synthesis of peptides of  $\alpha$ -benzylphenylalanine by unconventional methods, with a note on magnetic non-equivalence in derivatives of  $\alpha$ -benzylphenylalanine. *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I* 1973; 98–105.
- Freitas AM, Maia HLS. Facilitation of oxazolone formation by bulky amino acid side chains. In *Peptides 1988*, Jung G, Bayer E (eds). Walter de Gruyter: Berlin, 1989; 13–15.
- Gokel G, Hoffmann P, Kleimann H, Klusacek H, Ludke G, Marquaerd D, Ugi I. Peptide synthesis. In *Isonitrile Chemistry*, Ugi I (ed.). Academic Press: New York and London, 1971; 201–215.
- Costa SPG, Maia HLS, Pereira-Lima SMMA. An improved approach for the synthesis of  $\alpha,\alpha$ -dialkyl glycine derivatives by the Ugi-Passerini reaction. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2003; **1**: 1475–1479.
- Jiang W-Q, Costa SPG, Maia HLS. Synthesis of *N*-acyl- $N,\alpha,\alpha$ -trialkyl and *N*-acyl- $\alpha,\alpha$ -dialkyl glycines by selective cleavage of Ugi-Passerini adducts. Qualitative assessment of the effect of substituents on the path and yield of reaction. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2003; **1**: 3804–3810.
- Jiang W-Q, Ventura C, Costa SPG, Albuquerque L, Gonçalves-Maia R, Maia HLS. Kinetic and mechanistic investigation of the selective acidolysis of the *C*-terminal amide bond of *N*-acyl- $N,\alpha,\alpha$ -trialkyl glycine amides. *J. Peptide Sci.*, in press; DOI: 10.1002/psc.640
- Creighton CJ, Romoff TT, Bu JH, Goodman M. Mechanistic studies of an unusual amide bond scission. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1999; **121**: 6786–6791.
- Keating TA, Armstrong RW. Molecular diversity via a convertible isocyanide in the Ugi 4-component condensation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1995; **117**: 7842–7843.
- Taft Jr RW. Separation of polar, steric and resonance effects in reactivity. In *Steric Effects in Organic Chemistry*, Newman MS (ed.). Wiley: New York, 1956; 556–675.
- Shorter J. *Correlation Analysis of Organic Reactivity – with Particular Reference to Multiple Regression*. Research Studies Press/Wiley: New York, 1982; 73–126.
- Shorter J. *Correlation Analysis of Organic Reactivity – with Particular Reference to Multiple Regression*. Research Studies Press/Wiley: New York, 1982; chaps. 3 and 4.